UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/804,793	03/19/2004 .	Gregory Howard Milby	NCR 11351	8896	
John D. Cowar	7590 I 1/14/2007	·	EXAM	INER	
Teradata Law IP, WHQ-4W			LE, UYEN T		
NCR Corporat 1700 S. Patters			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Dayton, OH 45	5479-0001		2163		
•					
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/14/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			ap			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/804,793	MILBY, GREGORY HOWARD				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Uyen T. Le	2163				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	SS			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this commu BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 A	August 2007.					
<i>'</i>	∑ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allows	•	• •	erits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.L	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application	n		•			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.		•				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin		•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) Dobjected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	, -	• •	• •			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-	152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. {	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	•			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>	its have been received.					
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority document</li></ol>	its have been received in A	opplication No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	•	received in this National Sta	ge			
application from the International Burea	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_

6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Art Unit: 2163

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

# Claim Objections

2. Claim 8 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 1 as amended already includes the limitation of claim 8.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 8-13, 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bardin et al "Composable Ada Software Components and the Re-Export Paradigm", ACM SIGAda Ada Letters (archive) Volume VIII, Issue 1 (Jan/Feb 1988), pages 58-79.

Regarding claims 1, 8, Bardin discloses the claimed method when Bardin shows re-exporting operation described at page 68, first paragraph. The re-exported type is clearly a user defined type derived from the underlying type and selected underlying operations are activated since the desired operations are selectively re-exported.

Application/Control Number: 10/804,793

Art Unit: 2163

Regarding claim 2, the re-exported type is clearly a distinct data type since it is user defined.

Regarding claims 3, 5, clearly the derived types have to be created by a CREATE TYPE query or an ALTER TYPE query since the method of Bardin is computerized.

Claim 4 merely reads on the fact that all operations of a type are exported to the derived type (see page 70 Re-Export Using Subtypes).

Claims 9-13, 16-20 correspond respectively to a computer program product and database system for the method of claims 1-5, thus are rejected for the same reasons stated in claims 1-5 above.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 6, 7, 14, 15, 21, 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bardin et al, further in view of Cunningham et al (US 2006/0064412) of record.

Regarding claim 6, although Bardin does not explicitly show activating includes recording in a data dictionary the activated underlying operations for the UDT. It is customary to do so to keep track of derived types as shown by Cunningham (see 0064, 0070). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include

Art Unit: 2163

the claimed features while implementing the method of Bardin in order to systematically keep track of what has been activated in a derived type as taught by Cunningham.

Regarding claim 7, although Bardin does not specifically show "accepting a query...operator that takes one or more operands...determining whether the query operator is activated for the UDT..." it is customary to do so to facilitate querying using standardized querying language as shown by Cunningham (see 0010, 0070). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include the claimed features while implementing the method of Bardin in order to identify activated query operators using standardized querying language as taught by Cunningham.

Claims 14, 15, 21, 22 correspond respectively to a computer program product and database system for the method of claims 6, 7, thus are rejected for the same reasons stated in claims 6, 7 above.

#### Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any Art Unit: 2163

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Uyen T. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-4021. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don Wong can be reached on 571-272-1834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

9 November 2007

/UL/ Uyen Le Primary Examiner Application/Control Number: 10/804,793

Art Unit: 2163

Page 6